

Contents

Preface	
For Teachers	xiv
1. Text Content and Student Preparedness	xiv
2. Emphasis on Wonder, Integration, Mastery, and Kingdom	xvi
3. Recommendations for Teaching With This Text	xvii
4. Laboratory Work and Lab Reports	xx
5. Revisions in the Second Edition	xxi
Preface	
For Students	xxii
1. Why Physics is Challenging	xxii
2. Strategies for Making Physics Manageable	xxiii
3. Studying for Mastery	xxiv
Chapter 1	
Mathematical Tools	2
1.1 Science and Measurements	4
1.1.1 No Measurements, No Science	4
1.1.2 Matter, Volume, and Mass	4
1.1.3 The SI Unit System	5
1.1.4 MKS Units	7
1.2 Uncertainty in Measurements	7
1.2.1 Error and Uncertainty	7
1.2.2 Distinguishing Between Accuracy and Precision	7
1.2.3 Significant Digits	8
1.2.4 Random and Systematic Error	12
1.2.5 Standard Deviation	13
1.2.6 Calculating Percent Difference	14
1.3 Modeling Nature	15
1.3.1 Science as Mental Model Building	15
1.3.2 Truth and Facts	16
1.3.3 Scientific Facts, Theories, Hypotheses, and Experiments	17
1.4 Vector Methods	19
1.4.1 Scalars and Vectors	19
1.4.2 How to Learn Vector Addition	21
1.4.3 Vector Addition—the Graphical Method	21
1.4.4 Additional Details About the Direction of a Vector	24
1.4.5 Vector Addition—the Trigonometric Method	25
1.4.6 Multiplying a Vector by a Scalar	28

1.4.7 Vector Multiplication	29
<i>Connections in Physics</i>	33
<i>Vector Fields</i>	34
Chapter 1 Exercises	
Chapter 2	
Uniform Motion	38
2.1 How Physics Is Organized	40
2.2 Rectilinear Motion	40
2.2.1 The Terminology of Motion	40
2.2.2 Coordinate Systems	40
2.2.3 Velocity and Acceleration	40
<i>Connections to Calculus</i>	43
<i>Derivatives</i>	45
2.2.4 The Equations of Kinematics	50
<i>Connections in Physics</i>	50
<i>Correct Problem-Solving Method</i>	54
<i>Connections in Physics</i>	56
<i>Free Fall</i>	57
2.2.5 Symmetry in Kinematics Problems	58
2.3 Projectile Motion	61
2.3.1 Modeling Assumptions	64
2.3.2 Vector Analysis and Calculation Method	69
<i>Connections in Physics</i>	74
<i>Fictitious Forces</i>	76
2.4 Relative Velocity	76
Chapter 2 Exercises	
Chapter 3	
Forces, Fields, and Newton's Laws of Motion	74
3.1 Forces and Fields: The Big Picture	76
3.1.1 The Four Fundamental Interactions	76
3.1.2 Fields	78
3.2 Historical Views on Motion	84
3.3 Newton's Laws of Motion	85
3.3.1 The Laws of Motion	86
<i>Connections in Physics</i>	86
<i>The Laws of Motion</i>	88
<i>Connections in Physics</i>	89
<i>Motion at Relativistic Speeds</i>	90
3.3.2 Mass and Weight	91
3.3.3 General Principles for Modeling Complex Systems	97
3.3.4 Free Body Diagrams and Force Components	97
3.4 Friction	98
3.4.1 The Source of Friction	101
3.4.2 Modeling Friction	106
3.4.3 Solving Dynamics Problems Involving Friction	107
<i>Connections to Calculus</i>	107
<i>The Second Law and Impulse</i>	
Chapter 3 Exercises	
Chapter 4	
Static Equilibrium and Torque	112
4.1 Static Equilibrium	114
4.1.1 Static Equilibrium	114
4.1.2 Two Conditions for Static Equilibrium	115
4.2 Modeling Torque	116
4.2.1 Torque as a Vector Quantity	116

4.2.2 Visualizing and Calculating Torque	116
4.3 Modeling Static Equilibrium	117
4.3.1 Free Body Diagrams for Static Equilibrium	117
4.3.2 Pivot Points and Torque Computations	121
4.3.3 Solving Problems in Static Equilibrium	123
Chapter 4 Exercises	130
Chapter 5	
Energy	134
5.1 Defining Energy	136
5.1.1 Energy, Matter, and the Beginning of the Universe	136
5.1.2 The Quantization of Energy	137
5.2 Forms of Energy	139
5.2.1 Units for Energy and Power	139
5.2.2 Forms of Energy	140
5.2.3 The Mechanical Equivalent of Heat	147
5.2.4 Energy Loss in Mechanical Systems	149
5.3 The Conservation of Mass-Energy	149
5.3.1 The Energy Fundamentals	149
5.3.2 The Law of Conservation of Energy	150
5.3.3 Conservative Fields	153
5.3.4 The Work-Energy Theorem	154
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Energy Rates of Change</i>	155
5.3.5 Einstein and Mass-Energy Equivalence	160
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>The Photoelectric Effect</i>	162
Chapter 5 Exercises	163
Chapter 6	
Momentum	168
6.1 Momentum and Impulse	170
6.1.1 Momentum	170
6.1.2 Impulse and Newton's Second Law	170
6.2 Conservation of Momentum	172
6.2.1 Conservation Laws in Physics	172
6.2.2 The Law of Conservation of Momentum	173
6.2.3 Conservation of Momentum and Newton's Laws of Motion	177
6.3 Elastic and Inelastic Collisions	177
6.3.1 Collisions and Energy Loss in Systems	177
6.3.2 Combining Momentum and Energy	178
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>Discovery of the Neutron</i>	179
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>Compton Scattering</i>	182
Chapter 6 Exercises	183
Chapter 7	
Rotating Systems	186
7.1 Angular Quantities	188
7.1.1 Radian Measure	188
7.1.2 Angular Displacement, Angular Velocity, and Angular Acceleration	189
7.1.3 Tangential Quantities	193

7.2	Torque and Angular Acceleration	196
7.2.1	Moment of Inertia	196
7.2.2	Newton's Second Law for Rotation	197
7.3	Centripetal Force	198
7.3.1	Motion in a Circle	198
7.3.2	Calculations With Centripetal Force	199
	<i>Connections to Calculus</i>	<i>Moment of Inertia</i>
		201
7.4	Newton's Law of Universal Gravitation	204
	<i>Connections in Physics</i>	<i>Henry Cavendish and G</i>
		206
	Chapter 7 Exercises	208
	Chapter 8	
	Energy and Momentum in Rotating Systems	212
8.1	Rotational Kinetic Energy	214
8.1.1	More Translational-Rotational Parallels	214
8.1.2	Rotational Kinetic Energy as an Energy Storage Medium	216
8.1.3	Conservation of Energy with Rotating Systems	219
8.2	Combining Rotational and Translational Kinetic Energy	220
8.3	Angular Momentum	221
	<i>Connections in Physics</i>	<i>Quantization of Angular Momentum</i>
		222
	<i>Connections in Physics</i>	<i>Precession</i>
		224
	Chapter 8 Exercises	225
	Chapter 9	
	Pressure and Buoyancy	230
9.1	Pressure	232
9.1.1	Pressure as Force Applied to an Area	232
9.1.2	Pressure In a Fluid Medium	233
9.1.3	Air Pressure	234
9.1.4	Absolute Pressure and Gauge Pressure	237
9.1.5	Pascal's Law	240
9.1.6	Bernoulli's Principle	242
9.2	Buoyancy and Archimedes' Principle	244
9.2.1	Buoyancy	244
9.2.2	Archimedes' Principle	244
9.2.3	Flotation	248
	Chapter 9 Exercises	249
	Chapter 10	
	Gases, Kinetic Theory, and Heat	254
10.1	Moles and Molar Masses	256
10.1.1	Moles and the Avogadro Constant	256
10.1.2	Molar Mass	256
10.1.3	Gram Masses of Atoms and Molecules	257
10.2	The Gas Laws	259
10.2.1	Boyle's Law	259
10.2.2	Charles' Law	260
10.2.3	Avogadro's Law	263
10.2.4	The Ideal Gas Law	263

10.3 The Kinetic-Molecular Theory of Gases	269
10.3.1 Velocity Distribution of Gases	270
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>Fundamental Constants in Nature</i>	271
10.3.2 The Kinetic-Molecular Theory of Gases	272
10.3.3 Temperature and Molecular Energy	273
10.4 Thermal Properties of Matter	277
10.4.1 States of Matter	277
10.4.2 Heat Transfer	277
10.4.3 Phase Transitions and Phase Diagrams	278
10.4.4 Thermal Properties of Matter	280
10.4.5 Calorimetry	283
10.4.6 Evaporation	287
10.4.7 Vapor Pressure	287
Chapter 10 Exercises	288
Chapter 11	
Thermodynamics	292
11.1 The First Law of Thermodynamics	294
11.1.1 The Ideal Gas System Model	294
11.1.2 The First Law of Thermodynamics	295
11.1.3 State Variables and Thermodynamic States	296
11.1.4 Work and Thermodynamic States	297
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Work as Area Under the PV Curve</i>	299
11.2 Thermodynamic Processes	300
11.2.1 Thermodynamic Processes	300
11.2.2 Qualitative First Law Applications	303
11.3 The Second Law of Thermodynamics	304
11.3.1 Disorder and Directionality	304
11.3.2 Microstates, Entropy, and Reversibility	305
11.3.3 Heat Engines and Maximum Theoretical Efficiency	308
11.3.4 Refrigeration	311
Chapter 11 Exercises	313
Chapter 12	
Simple Harmonic Motion, Waves, and Sound	316
12.1 Simple Harmonic Motion	318
12.1.1 Modeling Oscillation	318
12.1.2 Simple Harmonic Motion	319
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Simple Harmonic Motion</i>	321
12.1.3 Energy In Simple Harmonic Motion	322
12.1.4 The Simple Pendulum and the Small-Angle Approximation	324
12.2 Wave Modeling and Interactions	326
12.2.1 Modeling Waves	326
12.2.2 Reflection, Refraction, and Dispersion	328
12.2.3 Standing Waves and Resonance	331
12.2.4 Diffraction and Interference	334
12.3 Sound	338
12.3.1 Sound Intensity and the Inverse Square Law	338
12.3.2 Sound Pressure Level	340


12.3.3 Frequency Response	345
12.3.4 The Doppler Effect	346
12.3.5 The Speed of Sound	349
Chapter 12 Exercises	351
Chapter 13	
Electrostatics and Electric Circuits	356
13.1 Charge and Static Electricity	358
13.1.1 Charge	358
13.1.2 Static Electricity	358
13.2 Conservation of Charge and Coulomb's Law	359
13.2.1 Conservation of Charge	359
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>Feynman Diagrams</i>	360
13.2.2 Coulomb's Law	361
13.3 Electric Fields and Charge Symmetries	364
13.3.1 Spherical Field Symmetry	364
13.3.2 Cylindrical Field Symmetry	364
13.3.3 Planar Field Symmetry	365
13.4 Energy in Electric Fields	368
13.4.1 Work, Potential, and Voltage	368
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Absolute Potential</i>	370
13.4.2 Potential and Equipotentials	372
13.4.3 Capacitors	373
13.4.4 Energy Storage in Capacitors	376
13.5 DC Circuits	377
13.5.1 Electric Circuits	377
13.5.2 Resistance in Conductors	382
13.5.3 Resistor Combinations and Equivalent Resistance	383
13.5.4 Kirchhoff's Laws	389
13.5.5 DC Circuit Analysis	392
Chapter 13 Exercises	397
Chapter 14	
Magnetism and Time-Varying Circuits	404
14.1 Forces Caused by Magnetic Fields	406
14.1.1 Fields and Flux	406
14.1.2 Magnetic Forces on Wires and Ampère's Law	408
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Magnetic Flux and the B-Field</i>	409
14.1.3 Forces on Moving Charges	413
14.1.4 Torque on a Current Loop and the DC Motor	416
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>Bubble Chambers</i>	417
14.2 Faraday's Law, Generators, and Transformers	420
14.2.1 Faraday's Law of Magnetic Induction	420
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Faraday's Law of Magnetic Induction</i>	421
14.2.2 Generators	422
14.2.3 Transformers	423
14.3 Inductance and Time-Varying Circuits	427
14.3.1 Inductance	427
14.3.2 Steady-State AC Circuits	430

14.3.3 RC Circuits	433
<i>Connections to Calculus</i> <i>Step Response of a Capacitor</i>	435
14.3.4 RL Circuits	437
14.3.5 RLC Circuits	438
14.4 Lenz's Law	440
14.4.1 Lenz's Law	440
14.4.2 Back-EMF	442
Chapter 14 Exercises	443
Chapter 15	
Geometric Optics: A Brief Introduction	448
15.1 Ray Optics	450
15.1.1 Light As Rays	450
15.1.2 Human Image Perception	450
15.1.3 Flat Mirrors and Ray Diagrams	450
15.1.4 Real and Virtual Images	452
15.2 Optics and Curved Mirrors	452
15.2.1 Concave and Convex Optics	452
15.2.2 Approximations In Geometric Optics	453
15.2.3 Spherical Mirrors	454
15.2.4 The Mirror Equation	455
15.3 Lenses	457
15.3.1 Light Through a Lens	457
15.3.2 Single-Lens Applications	459
15.3.3 The Lens Equation	461
15.3.4 Multiple-Lens Systems	463
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>Rainbows</i>	467
15.3.5 Imaging with the Eye	468
Chapter 15 Exercises	468
Chapter 16	
Nuclear Physics: A Brief Introduction	470
16.1 Nuclides and Isotopes	472
16.1.1 Isotopes	472
16.1.2 Atomic Mass Unit	472
16.1.3 Nuclear Size and Density	473
16.1.4 Binding Energy and Mass Defect	474
16.1.5 Nuclear Equations	477
16.2 Radioactivity	477
16.2.1 Nuclear Stability	477
16.2.2 Nuclear Decay and Decay Series	478
16.2.3 Nuclear Half-Life	484
16.2.4 Radiometric Dating	488
16.3 Fission and Fusion Reactions	491
16.3.1 Nuclear Fission	491
<i>Connections in Physics</i> <i>The Beginning of Nuclear Power</i>	493
16.3.2 Nuclear Fusion	495
Chapter 16 Exercises	498
Glossary	500

Answers to Selected Exercises	515
Appendix A	522
Reference Data	522
Appendix B	526
Unit Conversions Tutorial	526
B.1 Basic Principles of Unit Conversion Factors	526
B.2 Tips for Converting Units of Measure	528
B.3 Converting Temperature Units	531
Appendix C	533
Memory Requirements	533
References and Citations	534
Image Credits	535
Index	538

Chapter 1

Mathematical Tools



The SI unit system—or metric system—underwent a serious overhaul in 2019. Prior to the makeover, the kilogram was still defined by a physical object kept in a vault in France. Now, however, the seven base units in the SI system, represented by the circles in the inner ring in the graphic above, are defined in terms of physical constants (the outer ring) and each other. For example, the meter (m) is defined as the distance light travels in $1/299,792,458$ seconds. That big number in the denominator is the speed of light in meters per second. So, the definition of the meter involves the speed of light (c) and the definition for the second (s). The arrows in the graphic indicate which units and constants affect others. As you see, the definition of the second affects every other unit definition except one.

Objectives for Chapter 1

After studying this chapter and completing the exercises, you should be able to do each of the following tasks, using supporting terms and principles as necessary.

SECTION 1.1

1. Distinguish between matter and mass.
2. Use standard SI units and prefixes correctly and consistently.
3. Describe the origin of the SI system and state the seven SI base units.
4. Explain what a derived unit is and give examples.
5. Explain what MKS units are and why we use them when solving physics problems.

SECTION 1.2

6. Explain why all measurements contain uncertainty.
7. Distinguish between accuracy and precision.
8. Use significant digits properly when making measurements, interpreting measurements, and performing calculations.
9. Distinguish between random error and systematic error.
10. Describe the meaning of the sample standard deviation.
11. State the equation for the percent difference calculation used in evaluating experimental data.

SECTION 1.3

12. Define *fact*, *theory*, *hypothesis*, and *experiment*.
13. Describe the relationships between facts, theories, hypotheses, and experiments and the roles these play in the process of scientific inquiry.

SECTION 1.4

14. Describe the difference between vector and scalar quantities and give several examples of each.
15. Use the properties of vectors to re-express vector quantities with negative directions or negative angles.
16. Multiply vectors by scalars.
17. Add vector quantities using graphical methods.
18. Calculate rectangular vector components, calculate the magnitude and direction of a vector from its x - and y -components, and use trigonometric methods to calculate vector sums.
19. Compute scalar (dot) and vector (cross) products.¹
20. Use the right-hand rule to determine the direction of a vector product.

¹ This objective may be deferred until needed in Chapters 4 and 5.

1.1 Science and Measurements

1.1.1 No Measurements, No Science

One of the things that distinguishes scientific research from other fields of study is the central role played in science by *measurement*. In every branch of science, researchers study the natural world, and they do it by making measurements. The measurements we make in science are the data we use to quantify the facts we have and to test new hypotheses. These data—our measurements—answer questions such as What is its volume? How fast is it moving? What is its mass? How much time did it take? What is its diameter? What was its frequency and wavelength? When do we expect it to occur again? and many others. Without measurements, modern science would not exist.

Units of measure are crucial in science. Since science is so deeply involved in making measurements, we work with measurements a lot in this course. The value of a measurement is always accompanied by the units of measure—a measurement without its units of measure is a meaningless number. For this reason, your answers to computations in scientific calculations must always show appropriate units.

Before moving on to review units of measure, we review the concepts of matter, volume, and mass.

1.1.2 Matter, Volume, and Mass

The best way to understand mass is to begin with *matter* and its properties. The term *matter* refers to anything composed of atoms or parts of atoms. Your thoughts, your soul, and your favorite song are not matter. You can write down your thoughts in ink, which is matter, and your song can be recorded onto a CD, which consists of matter. But ideas and souls are not material and are not made of what we call matter. Another part of this world that is not matter is *electromagnetic radiation*—light, radio waves, x-rays, and all other forms of electromagnetic radiation. Light is a form of energy; it is not matter and it has no mass.

All forms of matter may be described in terms of their physical and chemical properties. Here we focus on just two: all matter takes up space, and all matter has inertia. Describing and comparing these two properties helps make clear what we mean by the term *mass*.

All matter takes up space. Even individual atoms and protons inside of atoms take up space. We quantify the amount space occupied by an object by specifying its *volume*. To say that the volume of an object is $1,350 \text{ cm}^3$ (the volume of a typical adult human brain) is to say that to fill a hollow shell this size would require 1,350 neatly stacked cubes, each with a volume of 1 cm^3 , illustrated in Figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1 Volumes of 1 cm^3 (left) and 100 cm^3 (right).

All matter possesses the property of *inertia*. The effect of this property is that objects resist being accelerated. The more inertia an object has, the more difficult it is to accelerate the object. For example, if the inertia of an object is small, as with a golf ball, the object is easy to accelerate. Golf balls are easy to throw, and if you hit one with a golf club it accelerates at a high rate to a very high speed. But if the amount of inertia an object has is large, as with say, a grand piano, the object is difficult to accelerate. Just try throwing a grand piano or hitting one with a golf club and you will see that it doesn't accelerate at all. This is because the piano has a great deal more inertia than a golf ball.

As with the property of taking up space, we need a way to quantify the inertia of an object. The way we do this is with the variable we call *mass*. The mass of an object is a numerical measurement specifying the amount of inertia the object has. Since inertia is a property of matter, and since all matter is composed of atoms, it should be pretty obvious that the more atoms there are packed into an object, the more mass it has. And since the different types of atoms themselves have different masses, an object composed of more massive atoms has more mass than an object composed of an equal number of less massive atoms.

The basic unit of measure we use to specify an object's mass is the *kilogram*. There are other units such as the gram and the microgram. The kilogram (kg) is one of the base units in the SI unit system, our topic in the next section.

We have established that the mass of an object is a measure of its inertia, which in turn depends on how many atoms it is composed of and how massive those atoms are. The implication of this is that an object's mass does not depend on where it is. A golf ball on the earth has the same mass as a golf ball at the bottom of the ocean, on the moon, or in outer space. Even where there is no gravity, the mass of the golf ball is the same. This is what distinguishes the *mass* of an object from its *weight*.

Weight is caused by the force of gravity acting on an object composed of matter (which we often simply refer to as a *mass*). The weight of an object depends on where it is. An object—or mass—on the moon only weighs about 1/6 of its weight on earth, and in outer space, where there is no gravity, a mass has no weight at all. But the inertia of an object—and thus its mass—does not depend on where it is. This is because an object's mass is based on the matter the object is made of. A Steinway concert grand piano weighs 990 pounds on earth. In outer space, it weighs nothing and will float right in front of you. On the moon it weighs only 165 pounds—about as much as a slim man. But even in deep space, if you try to heave the grand piano—that is, try to accelerate it—the force you feel on your hands will be the same as it would be on the earth or on the moon. This is because the force you feel when you accelerate an object depends on the object's mass.

To summarize in different terms, inertia is a *quality* of all matter; mass is the *quantity* of a specific portion of matter. Inertia is a quality or property all matter possesses. Mass is a quantitative variable, and it specifies a quantity of matter.

1.1.3 The SI Unit System

The *U.S. Customary System* (USCS) is a system of units familiar to everyone raised in the United States. As familiar as this system is with its feet, pounds, and degrees Fahrenheit, this system is not used for scientific work. Thus without further ado, we move on to the SI unit system.

The measurement system universally used for scientific work is the *International System of Units*, known as the *SI system* or the metric system. This system was published in 1960 but originated in France during the French Revolution. The original system included only the meter and the kilogram. Over the years, as measurement treaties were signed and scientific learning advanced, the system grew into the formal SI System that has now been in use since 1960. The SI System is administered by an organization in Sèvres, France (near Paris) known as the International Bureau of Weights and Measures. The SI System has been adopted almost globally. There are only three nations in the world that have not accepted the SI System as their official system of

Unit	Symbol	Quantity
meter	m	length
kilogram	kg	mass
second	s	time
ampere	A	electric current
kelvin	K	temperature
candela	Cd	luminous intensity
mole	mol	amount of substance

Table 1.1. The seven base units in the SI unit system.

Unit	Symbol	Quantity
joule	J	energy
newton	N	force
cubic meter	m ³	volume
watt	W	power
pascal	Pa	pressure

Table 1.2. Some SI System derived units.

base units are called *derived units*. A few common derived units are listed in Table 1.2.

To accommodate measurements of vastly differing size, the SI System uses multipliers on the units of measure to multiply them for large quantities, or to scale them down for smaller quantities. These multipliers are the *metric prefixes*. The complete list of the 20 official SI prefixes is in Table 1.3. You do not need to memorize all of these; some are rarely used. But you do need to memorize some of them. I recommend that all high school science students commit to memory

measurement: Myanmar, Liberia, and the United States. But even though our road sign markers still give distances in miles, in scientific work the SI System is the one we use.

There are seven base units in the SI System, listed in Table 1.1. All other SI units of measure, such as the joule (J) for measuring quantities of energy and the newton (N) for measuring amounts of force, are based on these seven base units. Units based on combinations of the seven

Multiples	Prefix	deca-	hecto-	kilo-	mega-	giga-	tera-	peta-	exa-	zetta-	yotta-
	Symbol	da	h	k	M	G	T	P	E	Z	Y
	Factor	10	10 ²	10 ³	10 ⁶	10 ⁹	10 ¹²	10 ¹⁵	10 ¹⁸	10 ²¹	10 ²⁴
Fractions	Prefix	deci-	centi-	milli-	micro-	nano-	pico-	fermo-	atto-	zepto-	yocto-
	Symbol	d	c	m	μ	n	p	f	a	z	y
	Factor	1/10	1/10 ²	1/10 ³	1/10 ⁶	1/10 ⁹	1/10 ¹²	1/10 ¹⁵	1/10 ¹⁸	1/10 ²¹	1/10 ²⁴

Table 1.3. The SI System prefixes.

the prefixes listed in Table 1.4.

I conclude this section with a few brief notes. First, when using the prefixes for quantities of mass, prefixes are never added to the kilogram. Prefixes are only added to the gram, even though the kilogram—not the gram—is the base unit in the SI system. Second, note that when writing the symbols for metric prefixes, the case of the letter matters: *kilo-* always takes a lower-case k, *mega-* always takes an upper-case M, and so on. Third, one of the prefix symbols is not an

Fractions			Multiples		
Prefix	Symbol	Factor	Prefix	Symbol	Factor
centi-	c	1/10 ²	kilo-	k	10 ³
milli-	m	1/10 ³	mega-	M	10 ⁶
micro-	μ	1/10 ⁶	giga-	G	10 ⁹
nano-	n	1/10 ⁹	tera-	T	10 ¹²
pico-	p	1/10 ¹²			

Table 1.4. SI System prefixes to commit to memory.

English letter. The prefix μ for *micro-* is the lower-case Greek letter mu, the m in the Greek alphabet. Finally, pay close attention to the difference between multiple prefixes and fraction prefixes. Learning to use the fraction prefixes properly is the most challenging part of mastering the SI System of units, and us-

ing them incorrectly in unit conversion factors is a common student error.

1.1.4 MKS Units

The study of physics is notorious for involving challenging problems. When you are dealing with complex problems involving lots of math, the last thing you want to do is fight your way through a host of unit prefixes and unit conversions to get all the units of measure to work out and agree. For this reason, when solving problems in physics we usually use a subset of the SI system units called *MKS system*. Using the MKS system means using only the SI base units such as the *meter*, the *kilogram*, and the *second* (hence, “MKS”) and the units derived directly from the base units.

The wonderful thing about solving problems in MKS units is that any calculation performed with MKS units gives a result in MKS units. This is why the MKS system is so handy and why we use it almost exclusively. There are a few computations that are so simple that conversions to MKS units are not necessary, and I point these out as we go. But for most of the problems you encounter in this text, you should always begin your problem solutions by converting all given quantities into MKS units. Many common conversion factors are listed inside the back cover of the text and in Appendix A. Appendix C lists those you must commit to memory.

1.2 Uncertainty in Measurements

1.2.1 Error and Uncertainty

All measurements contain error because there is no such thing as an exact measurement or a perfect measurement instrument. Any measurement, if made repeatedly with a precise enough instrument, exhibits variation. For this reason, good experimental practice consists of performing measurements repeatedly so that the value under study consists not only of a single measurement but of an entire set of data. Scientists then communicate a measurement by specifying (usually) the mean value and a quantitative description of the *uncertainty* in the measurement. There are several ways to specify measurement uncertainty. The values in Table 1.5 are equivalent ways of expressing the mass of a proton, in units of 10^{-27} kilograms, as it is known today. Note the space inserted after every third decimal place. This is common in values with more than six decimal places and makes them easier to read.

In the second of the values in the table (perhaps the most common way of specifying uncertainty), the value in parentheses (74) specifies the uncertainty in the last digit (the 7 in the billionths place). In the third value, the same degree of uncertainty is expressed in “parts per million.” To see this, note that one millionth of 1.672 621 777 is 0.000 001 673. Multiplying this by 0.044 gives us 0.044 of these millionths, which is 0.000 000 074. In other words, 0.000 000 074 is 0.044 millionths of 1.672 621 777.

Finally, note that these four expressions of uncertainty are *not* equivalent to saying that the true value of the proton mass is somewhere between $1.672621851 \times 10^{-27}$ kg and $1.672621703 \times 10^{-27}$ kg. They are statistical specifications relating to the amount of variation that occurs when scientists attempt to measure the proton mass. We address this further in Section 1.2.5 below.

1.2.2 Distinguishing Between Accuracy and Precision

The terms *accuracy* and *precision* refer to the practical limitations inherent in making measurements. Science is all about investigating nature, and to do that we must make measurements.

$1.672\ 621\ 777 \pm 0.000\ 000\ 074$
1.672 621 777(74)
$1.672\ 621\ 777 \pm 0.044\ \text{ppm}$

Table 1.5. Three equivalent ways of expressing the same uncertainty.

Accuracy relates to error—that is, to the lack of it—which is the difference between a measured value and the true value. The lower the error is in a measurement, the better the accuracy. Error can arise from many different sources including human mistakes, malfunctioning equipment, incorrectly calibrated instruments, vibrations, changes in temperature or humidity, or unknown causes that are influencing a measurement without the knowledge of the experimenter.

Precision refers to the resolution or degree of “fine-ness” in a measurement. The limit to the precision that can be obtained in a measurement is ultimately dependent on the instrument being used to make the measurement. If you want greater precision, you must use a more precise instrument. The degree of precision in every measurement is signified by the measurement value itself because the precision is a built-in part of the measurement. The precision of a measurement is indicated by the number of significant digits (or significant figures) included in the measurement value when the measurement is written down (see below).

Here is an example that illustrates the idea of precision and also helps to distinguish between precision and accuracy. Figure 1.2 is a photograph of a machinist’s rule and an architect’s scale placed one above the other. Since the marks on the two scales line up consistently, *these two scales are equally accurate*. But the machinist’s rule (on top) is more precise. The architect’s scale




Figure 1.2. The accuracy of these two scales is the same, but the machinist’s rule (above) is more precise than the architect’s scale (below).

is marked in $1/16$ -inch increments, but the machinist’s rule is marked in $1/64$ -inch increments. Thus, *the machinist’s rule is more precise*.

It is important that you are able to distinguish between accuracy and precision. Here is another example to help illustrate the difference. Let’s say Theodore and Marius each buy digital thermometers for their homes. The thermometer Theodore buys costs \$10 and measures to the nearest 1°F . Marius pays \$40 and gets one that reads to

the nearest 0.1°F . Theodore reads the directions and properly installs the sensor for his new thermometer in the shade. Marius doesn’t read the directions and mounts his sensor in the direct sunlight, which causes a significant error in the thermometer reading when the sun is shining on it; thus Marius’ measurements are not very accurate. The result is that Theodore has lower-precision, higher-accuracy measurements!

1.2.3 Significant Digits

The precision in any measurement is indicated by the number of *significant digits* it contains. Thus, the number of digits we write in any measurement we deal with in science is very important. The number of digits is meaningful because it shows the precision that was present in the instrument used to make the measurement.

Let’s say you are working a computational exercise in a science book. The problem tells you that a person drives a distance of 110 miles at an average speed of 55 miles per hour and wants you to calculate how long the trip takes. The correct answer to this problem is *different* from the correct answer to a similar problem with given values of 110.0 miles and 55.0 miles per hour. And if the given values were 110.0 miles and 55.00 miles per hour, the correct answer would be different yet again. Mathematically, of course, all three answers are going to be the same. If you drive 110 miles at 55 miles per hour, the trip takes two hours. But scientifically, the correct answers to these three problems are different: 2.0 hours, 2.00 hours, and 2.000 hours, respec-

tively. The difference between these cases is in the precision indicated by the given data, which are *measurements*. (Even though this is just a made-up problem in a book and not an actual measurement someone made in an experiment, the given data are still measurements. There is no way to talk about distances or speeds without talking about measurements, even if the measurements are only imaginary or hypothetical.)

So when you perform a calculation with physical quantities (measurements), you can't simply write down all the digits shown by your calculator. The precision inherent in the measurements used in a computation governs the precision in any result you might calculate from those measurements. And since the precision in a measurement is indicated by the number of significant digits, data and calculations must be written with the correct numbers of significant digits. To do this, you need to know how to count significant digits, and you must use the correct number of significant digits in all your calculations and experimental data.

Correctly counting significant digits involves four different cases:

1. Rules for determining how many significant digits there are in a given measurement.
2. Rules for writing down the correct number of significant digits in a measurement you are making and recording.
3. Rules for computations you perform with measurements—multiplication and division.
4. Rules for computations you perform with measurements—addition and subtraction.

We now address each of these cases, in order.



We begin with the rule for determining how many significant digits there are in a given measurement value. The rule is as follows:

- *The number of significant digits in a number is found by counting all the digits from left to right, beginning with the first nonzero digit on the left. When no decimal is present, trailing zeros are not considered significant.*

As examples of this rule, consider the following:

- | | |
|----------|--|
| 15,679 | This value has five significant digits. |
| 21.0005 | This value has six significant digits. |
| 37,000 | This value has only two significant digits because when there is no decimal, trailing zeros are not significant. Notice that the word <i>significant</i> here is a reference to the precision of the measurement, which in this case is rounded to the nearest thousand. The zeros in this value are certainly <i>important</i> , but they are not <i>significant</i> in the context of precision. |
| 0.0105 | This value has three significant digits because we start counting digits with the first nonzero digit on the left. |
| 0.001350 | This value has four significant digits. Trailing zeros count when there is a decimal. |

The significant digit rules enable us to tell the difference between two measurements such as 13.05 m and 13.0500 m. Again, these values are obviously equivalent *mathematically*. But they are different in what they tell us about the process of how the measurements were made—and science deals in measurements. The first measurement has four significant digits. The second measurement is more precise. It has six significant digits, and was made with a more precise instrument.

Now, just in case you are bothered by the zeros at the end of 37,000 that are not significant, here is one more way to think about significant digits that may help. The precision in a measurement depends on the instrument used to make the measurement. If we express the measurement in different units, this cannot change the precision of the value. A measurement of 37,000 grams is equivalent to 37 kilograms. Whether we express this value in grams or kilograms, it still has two significant digits.

Case 2

The second case addresses the rules that apply when you are recording a measurement yourself, rather than reading a measurement someone else has made. When you take measurements yourself, as you do in laboratory experiments, you need to know the rules for which digits are significant in the reading you are taking on the measurement instrument. The rule for taking measurements depends on whether the instrument you are using is a digital instrument or an analog instrument. Here are the rules for these two possibilities:

- **Rule 1 for digital instruments** *For the digital instruments commonly found in high school or undergraduate science labs, assume all of the digits in the reading are significant except leading zeros.*
- **Rule 2 for analog instruments** *The significant digits in a measurement include all the digits known with certainty, plus one digit at the end that must be estimated between the finest marks on the scale of your instrument.*

The first of these rules is illustrated in Figure 1.3. The reading on the left has leading zeros,



Figure 1.3. With digital instruments, all digits are significant except leading zeros. Thus, the numbers of significant digits in these readings are, from left to right, three, three, five, and five.

which do not count as significant. Thus, the first reading has three significant digits. The second reading also has three significant digits. The third reading has five significant digits.

The fourth reading also has five significant digits because with a digital display, the only zeros that don't count are the leading zeros. Trailing zeros are significant with a digital instrument. However, when you write this measurement down, you must write it in a way that shows those zeros to be significant. The way to do this is by using scientific notation. Thus, the right-hand value in Figure 1.3 must be written as 4.2000×10^4 .

Dealing with digital instruments is actually more involved than the simple rule above implies, but the issues involved go beyond what we typically deal with in introductory or intermediate science classes. So, simply take your readings and assume that all the digits in the reading except leading zeros are significant.

Now let's look at some examples illustrating the rule for analog instruments. Figure 1.4 shows a machinist's rule being used to measure the length in millimeters (mm) of a brass block. We know the first two digits of the length with certainty; the block is clearly between 31 mm and 32 mm long. We have to estimate the third significant digit. The scale on the rule is marked in increments of 0.5 mm. Comparing the edge




Figure 1.4. Reading the significant digits with a machinist's rule.

of the block with these marks, I would estimate the next digit to be a 6, giving a measurement of 31.6 mm. Others might estimate the last digit to be 5 or 7; these small differences in the last digit are unavoidable because the last digit is estimated. Whatever you estimate the last digit to be, two digits of this measurement are known with certainty, the third digit is estimated, and the measurement has three significant digits.

The photograph in Figure 1.5 shows a liquid volume measurement in milliliters (mL) being made with a buret. The scale is marked in increments of 0.1 mL. This means we are to estimate to the nearest 0.01 mL. To one person, it may look like the bottom of the meniscus (the black curve) is just below 2.2 mL, so that person would call this measurement 2.21 mL. To someone else, it may seem that the bottom of the meniscus is right on 2.2, in which case that person would call the reading 2.20 mL. Either way, the reading has three significant digits and the last digit is estimated to be either 1 or 0.

As a third example, Figure 1.6 shows a liquid volume measurement being made in a graduated cylinder. The scale on the graduated cylinder is marked in increments of 1 mL. In the photo, the entire meniscus appears silvery in color with a black curve at the bottom. For the liquid shown in the figure, we know the first two digits of the volume measurement with certainty, because the reading at the bottom of the meniscus is clearly between 82 mL and 83 mL. We have to estimate the third digit, and I would estimate the black line to be at 40% of the distance between 82 and 83, giving a reading of 82.4 mL.

It is important for you to keep the significant digits rules in mind when you are taking measurements and entering data for your lab reports. The data in your lab journal and the values you use in your calculations and report should correctly reflect the use of the significant digits rules as they apply to the actual instruments you use to take your measurements.

Case 3

The third and fourth cases of rules for significant digits apply to the calculations you perform with measurements. In Case 3, we are concerned with multiplication and division. The main idea behind the rule for multiplying and dividing is that the precision you report in your result cannot be higher than the precision that is in the measurements you start with. The precision in a measurement depends on the instrument used to make the measurement, nothing else. Multiplying and dividing things cannot improve that precision, and thus your results can be no more precise than the measurements that went into the calculations. In fact, your result can be no more precise than the *least precise* value used in the calculation. The least precise value is, so to speak, the “weak link” in the chain, and a chain is no stronger than its weakest link.

Here are the two rules for using significant digits in calculations involving multiplication and division:

- **Rule 1** When multiplying or dividing, count the significant digits in each of the values you will use in a calculation, including any conversion factors you are using. (However,



Figure 1.5. Reading the significant digits on a buret.



Figure 1.6. Reading the significant digits on a graduated cylinder.

note: conversion factors that are exact are not considered.) Determine how many significant digits there are in the least precise of all of these values. The result of your calculation must have this same number of significant digits.

- **Rule 2** *When performing a multi-step calculation, keep at least one extra digit during intermediate calculations, and round to the final number of significant digits you need at the very end. This practice ensures that small round-off errors don't accumulate during a multi-step calculation. This extra digit rule also applies to unit conversions performed as part of the computation.*

Case 4

The fourth case of rules for significant digits also applies to the calculations you perform with measurements. In Case 4, we are concerned with addition and subtraction.

The rule for addition and subtraction is completely different from the rule for multiplication and division. When performing addition, it is not the number of significant digits that governs the precision of the result. Instead, it is the *place value of the last digit that is farthest to the left in the numbers being added* that governs the precision of the result. This rule is quite wordy and is best illustrated by an example. Consider the following addition problem:

$$\begin{array}{r} 13.65 \\ 1.9017 \\ + 1,387.069 \\ \hline 1,402.62 \end{array}$$

Of the three values being added, 13.65 has digits out to the hundredths place, 1.9017 has digits out to the ten thousandths place, and 1,387.069 has digits out to the thousandths place. Looking at the final digits of these three, you can see that the final digit farthest to the left is the 5 in 13.65, which is in the hundredths place. This is the digit that governs the final digit of the result. There can be no digits to the right of the hundredths place in the result. The justification for this rule is that one of our measurements is precise only to the nearest hundredth, even though the other two are precise to the nearest thousandth or ten thousandth. We are going to add these values together, and one of them is precise only to the nearest hundredth. Thus, it makes no sense to have a result that is precise to a place more precise than that, so hundredths are the limit of the precision in the result.

Correctly performing addition problems in science (where nearly everything is a measurement) requires that you determine the place value governing the precision of your result, perform the addition, and then round the result. In the above example, the sum is 1,402.6207. Rounding this value to the hundredths place gives 1,402.62.

1.2.4 Random and Systematic Error

The two main types of error in experimental measurements are *random error* and *systematic error*. Random errors are caused by unknown and unpredictable fluctuations in the experimental setup. Examples of random error would be changes in the apparatus due to temperature fluctuations in the room, vibrations or wind that influence the measurement in a random fashion, or electronic noise that influences the readings in your instruments. When you calculate and discuss the uncertainty in your measurements, you are discussing the random error that caused your measurements to fluctuate randomly around the mean value.

Systematic errors are errors that bias the experimental results in one direction, and are usually caused by equipment defects, miscalibration of measurement instruments, or an experimenter who consistently misreads or misuses the instruments in the same way. Usually, when

discussing systematic error, we are talking about problems that could be eliminated by proper use, calibration, and operation of the equipment.

Your percent difference values (Section 1.2.6) can be influenced by factors you did not take into account in your predictions, and the result can be percent difference values that look like they include systematic error. A common example of this is physics experiments involving motion that do not take friction into account. If you make predictions in a mechanical system without taking friction into account, your results will all be biased in the same way relative to your measurements. This is not an “experimental error”; it is the result of using approximations in your theoretical modeling of the experiment. However, it is a contributor to your percent difference values and could play a role in the discussion of your results.

1.2.5 Standard Deviation

No doubt, you are already familiar with the statistical parameters *mean* and *median*. In the language of statistics, these parameters are *measures of center*—they indicate where the “middle” of a data set is. The *standard deviation* of a data set is another statistical parameter, one that indicates the amount of “spread” in a data set. There are two different calculations for the standard deviation: the population standard deviation (σ) and the sample standard deviation (s). The population standard deviation applies to data sets that include every member of a population; the sample standard deviation applies to data sets that contain a sample of values from a population, but not the entire population. For example, it is possible to know the age of every single student in a school, and such a data set constitutes a population. But since a data set of scientific measurements almost never contains every possible measurement value that could occur, sets of measurement data are usually samples and the sample standard deviation is the one most commonly used with scientific data.

When taking measurements or performing calculations from repeated trials of an experiment, the values of the measurements—if there are enough of them—often form a *Gaussian distribution* (also called a *normal distribution*). Figure 1.7 illustrates how measurements form a Gaussian distribution. Each circle in the figure represents a measurement. Most of the measurement values are close to the mean value, so the distribution peaks here; some measurements lie far above or below the mean, giving the distribution its “tails.”

Figure 1.8 shows two more typical Gaussian distributions, the second distribution more spread out than the first. The standard deviation, s , of a data set is a measure of how spread out the data are. Larger values of s mean wider spread; smaller values of s mean a narrower spread. The more accurate your experimental methods, and




Figure 1.7. A Gaussian distribution forms from the data when a specific measurement is made repeatedly with an instrument precise enough to show the variation.




Figure 1.8. Gaussian distributions: narrow, with smaller s (top), and wide, with larger s (bottom).

the more precise your instruments, the narrower the spread in the data should be (all else being equal). Data that are very close together have a very small value of s —exactly what you want. If all the data have exactly the same value, then $s = 0$.

To help you understand the standard deviation a bit more, Figure 1.9 indicates the relationship between the standard deviation of a data set and the shape of the data distribution (assuming a Gaussian distribution). The mean of the distribution is in the center, where the zero is on the horizontal scale. The scale is marked in increments of one standard deviation: $1s$, $2s$, and so on. In a Gaussian data distribution, 68% of the data values lie within one standard deviation of the mean, 95.4% of the data lie within two standard deviations of the mean, and 99.7% of




Figure 1.9. Relationship between the standard deviation and the area under the curve for a Gaussian distribution.

the data lie within three standard deviations of the mean. Note that the units for s are the same as the units of the data. If your data are in mL, s is also in mL. If the mean of the data is 75.5 mL and $s = 3.1$ mL, then 68% of the data lie between 72.4 mL and 78.6 mL. If s is only 1.1 mL, then 68% of the data lie between 74.4 mL and 76.6 mL—a narrower distribution with less *uncertainty* in the measurements.

The sample standard deviation is often used as a measure of uncertainty in a data set. As I write above, all measurements contain error; that's just a fact of

life. All measurements made with enough precision show variation, and together the values form a distribution, indicating that there is uncertainty as to the true value of the parameter being measured. Quoting the value of s for a set of data is a very common way of indicating the uncertainty in the data. All computer spreadsheet applications and graphing calculators can calculate the sample standard deviation. Just enter your data and look up how to run the calculation of the sample standard deviation on your device.

1.2.6 Calculating Percent Difference

The topic in this section applies primarily to the experiments you perform as part of your study of physics. I am including the topic here because it addresses one of the common mathematical tools we use to analyze the data taken in an experiment and compare them to the predictions we make based on our theoretical understanding of how nature works. (We address the topic of theories in the next section.)

One of the conventional calculations in high school and college physics experiments is the so-called “experimental error.” Experimental error is typically defined as the difference between the predicted value (which comes from scientific theory) and the experimental value, expressed as a percentage of the predicted value, or

$$\text{experimental error} = \frac{|\text{predicted or accepted value} - \text{experimental value}|}{\text{predicted or accepted value}} \times 100\%$$

Although the term “experimental error” is widely used, it is in my view a poor choice of words. When there is a mismatch between theory and experiment, the experiment may not be the source of the error. Often, it is the theory that is found wanting. This is how science advances.

It is, of course, true that at the introductory and intermediate level students are not generally engaged in research that uncovers weaknesses in scientific theories. At this level, the difference between prediction and experimental result may well be due entirely to “experimental error” arising from experimental limitations or inaccuracies. However, I prefer that students develop scientific habits of mind, and in the real world of scientific research in physics and engineering, the measurements are as accurate as the experimenters know how to make them, and one does not know whether differences between mathematical prediction and experimental result are due to the mathematical model or error in the experiment.

I prefer to use the phrase *percent difference* to describe the value computed by the above equation. When quantitative results are compared to quantitative predictions or accepted values, students should compute the percent difference as

$$\text{percent difference} = \frac{|\text{predicted or accepted value} - \text{experimental value}|}{\text{predicted or accepted value}} \times 100\%$$

One more note. In the study of statistics, there is a calculation called the “percentage difference,” in which the difference between two values is divided by their average. To avoid potential future confusion, you should note the distinction between the calculation we are using here and the one arising in statistics.

1.3 Modeling Nature

1.3.1 Science as Mental Model Building

Students usually find the study of physics to be fascinating and rewarding—even though it is also challenging. This is because physics is all about modeling the fundamental interactions of the matter and energy the world is composed of. The fact that humans can accurately model nature with mathematics is a wonderful consequence of God’s design: God made the world in such a way that it lends itself to mathematical characterization, and God made human beings with the mental ability to imagine mathematical structures. The world’s mathematical properties and our mathematical abilities fit together extremely well, and the result is that over the past 400 years, the accomplishments in the field of physics have been nothing short of stupendous.

Before we dive into modeling nature with mathematics, we need to pause and consider again how the modeling process works in science, and what kind of knowledge science provides for us. It is helpful to think of science as the process of building “mental models” of the natural world. These mental models are called *theories*. The information we use to build our mental models—scientific facts—comes from experiments, observations, and inferences from these.

The theoretical models developed by scientists are the basis for our entire understanding of how the natural world functions. Successful theories are those that account for the facts we know and lead to new hypotheses (predictions) that can be put to the test. It is helpful to think about the relationship between scientific facts, theories, hypotheses, and experiments as illustrated in Figure 1.10. This diagram illustrates what I call the *Cycle of Scientific Enterprise*. You may have studied this diagram before in a previous class. It is important for every student to develop a correct understanding of the kind of knowledge scientific study provides for us. The *goal* of science is to uncover the truth about how nature works, but scientific theories are always works in progress. Even our best theories are provisional and subject to change. For this reason,




Figure 1.10. The Cycle of Scientific Enterprise.

science is not in the business of making truth claims. It is in the business of modeling how nature works with theories based on research.

As our theories develop over time, our hope is that they get closer and closer to the truth, the amazing and profound truth about mysteries such as what protons and electrons are, why they have the properties they have, and how the two most successful theories of the 20th century—quantum mechanics and general relativity—can be reconciled with each other. But the truth about nature is always out in front of us somewhere, always outside of our grasp. To know the truth about nature we would have to understand nature as God understands it. We are nowhere close to that.

For this reason, all scientific knowledge—facts and theories—is regarded as *provisional* and *corrigible*. Facts may be regarded as correct, and theories may be regarded as our best approximation to the reality of nature, but all such knowledge is subject to change and correction, to being replaced by more accurate facts or theories.

1.3.2 Truth and Facts

Christians believe in truths that have been revealed to us, that are absolute and unchanging. Scientific facts, by their very nature, are not like this, so definitions for *truth* and for *scientific facts* must take this into account. First we look at the ways that humans can know truth.

One way we know truth is when it is *evident* or *obvious* to us. For example, it is evident or obvious to you that you are awake right now while you are reading this text. Thus, it is correct to say that it is true you are awake. Likewise, you probably know if you have eaten a meal within the past three days. If so, then it is obvious to you that if you said, “I have eaten a meal within the past three days” you would be speaking the truth.

Knowing obvious truths is clearly an important part of living as a human being in God’s world. God has made us so we can know truth about the world around us just by being here, seeing things, and remembering things. We rely on the stability of the world around us and our

memories of it, because if it were otherwise we would probably all go crazy. The stability of the world and our memories are parts of the gift of creation God has given to us.

The second way humans can know truth is by the use of valid logic, beginning with true premises. I do not develop this idea here, since the use of formal logic has little direct relation to our work in this text. The exception is the use of mathematical logic to discover new mathematical truth. This is, of course, at the core of what mathematicians do, and also plays a role in problem solving.

The third way for us to know truth is for God to reveal it to us. Much truth is knowledge that is revealed to us by God, either by Special Revelation or by General Revelation. Special Revelation is the term theologians use to describe truths God teaches us in the Bible, his Holy Word. General Revelation refers to truths God teaches us through the world he made. Sometimes people describe Special and General Revelation as the two “books” of God’s revelation to us, the book of God’s Word (the Bible) and the book of God’s Works (nature).

Since truth is obvious, or revealed by God (either through his word or his works), this means that truth is not discovered the same way scientific facts are discovered. Truth is true for all people, all times and all places. Truth is permanent and never changes. Some examples of revealed truths are:

- Jesus is the divine Son of God (Matthew 16:16).
- All have sinned and fall short of what God requires (Romans 3:23).
- God is the creator of all that is (Colossians 1:16, Revelation 4:11).
- God loves us (John 3:16).

Each of these statements is true, and we know they are true because God has revealed them to us in his word. (The reasons for believing God’s word are important for all of us to know and understand, but that is a subject for a different course of study.) These truths are all unchanging, just like all truth. The distinction between truth and scientific facts, which I describe in the next section, is crucial. As believers, we embrace the absolute truths we find in Scripture. Scientific facts can change; truth does not. If we confuse these terms we may have a hard time discussing and defending the faith and distinguishing between the precious truths we know absolutely, such as that Jesus is our redeemer and rose from the dead, and things that may turn out not to be correct after all.

1.3.3 Scientific Facts, Theories, Hypotheses, and Experiments

To expound on the Cycle of Scientific Enterprise a bit further, the following are some definitions to keep in mind as you consider the mathematical models we develop in coming chapters.

Scientific Facts

A scientific fact is a proposition based on a large amount of scientific data that is correct so far as we know. Scientific facts are discovered by experiment, observation, and inferences from experiments and observations. Scientific facts can and do change as new scientific knowledge—new data—is acquired. Since scientific facts are always subject to change, careful scientists will usually avoid terms such as *true* or *proven* to describe scientific facts. Instead, we say a scientific fact is correct so far as we know.

Scientific facts are just a small step away from raw data. To talk about what scientific facts mean, we must relate them together in a consistent explanatory framework. This is where theories come in.

Theories

A theory is a mental model that accounts for the data (facts) in a certain field of research, and attempts to relate them, interpret them, and explain them. Scientific theories are successful if they repeatedly allow scientists to form new hypotheses that are supported by experiment. *Successful theories are the glory and goal of science.* Nevertheless, theories, like